Robust Creation of Entanglement between Remote Memory Qubits
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In this Letter we propose a robust quantum repeater architecture building on the original Duan-Lukin-Cirac-Zoller (DLCZ) protocol [L.-M. Duan, M. D. Lukin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Nature (London) 414, 413 (2001)]. The architecture is based on two-photon Hong-Ou-Mandel-type interference which relaxes the long-distance stability requirements by about 7 orders of magnitude, from subwavelength for the single photon interference required by DLCZ to the coherence length of the photons. Our proposal provides an exciting possibility for robust and realistic long-distance quantum communication.
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Quantum communication holds the promise of achieving long-distance secure message transmission by exploiting quantum entanglement between remote locations [1,2]. For long-distance quantum communication one must realize a quantum network via a quantum repeater protocol [3], a combination of entanglement swapping, entanglement purification, and quantum memory. In a seminal paper [4]. Duan et al. (DLCZ) proposed a promising implementation of the quantum repeater with atomic ensembles as local memory qubits and linear optics. In the effort of realizing DLCZ protocol, significant progress has been achieved in recent years [5–7].

However, entanglement generation and entanglement swapping in DLCZ protocol depend on Mach-Zehnder-type interference. The relative phase between two remote entangled pairs is sensitive to path length instabilities, which has to be kept constant within a fraction of a photon’s wavelength. Moreover, entanglement generation and entanglement swapping are probabilistic. If connecting neighboring entangled pairs does not succeed after performing entanglement swapping, one has to repeat all previous procedures to reconstruct the entangled pairs. This means the path length fluctuation must be stabilized until the desired remote entangled pairs are successfully generated. A particular analysis shows that to maintain path length phase instabilities at the level of $\lambda/10$ ($\lambda$: wavelength; typically $\lambda \sim 1 \mu m$ for photons generated from atomic ensembles) requires the fine control of timing jitter at a subfemtosecond level over a time scale of a few tens of seconds, no matter whether entanglement generation is performed locally or remotely. It is extremely difficult for current technology to meet this demanding requirement, since the lowest reported jitter is about a few tens of femtoseconds for transferring a timing signal over kilometer-scale distances for averaging times of $\geq 1$ s [8].

As is well known, the two-photon Hong-Ou-Mandel-type interference is insensitive to phase instability. The path length fluctuations should be kept on the length scale within a fraction of a photon’s coherence length (say, 1/10 of the coherence length, which is about 3 m for photons generated from atomic ensembles [9]). Therefore, the robustness is improved about 7 orders of magnitude higher in comparison with the single-photon Mach-Zehnder-type interference in DLCZ protocol. The interference of two photons from independent atomic ensembles has been reported recently [10]. This type of two-photon interference has been widely used in quantum communication and quantum computation [11,12].

To exploit the advantage of two-photon interference, it is natural to extend the DLCZ protocol by polarization encoding a memory qubit with two atomic ensembles [13], and entangling two memory qubits at neighboring sites via a two-photon Bell-state measurement (BSM). Unfortunately, the BSM will not create the desired entangled state, but a complex superposition state with spurious contributions from second-order excitations, which preclude further entanglement manipulation (see details below).

In this Letter, we explore this problem and find that by appropriately designing the BSM the spurious contributions from second-order excitations can be automatically eliminated when entanglement swapping is performed. Motivated by this advance we propose a robust quantum repeater architecture with atomic ensembles and linear optics. This scheme makes use of the two-photon Hong-Ou-Mandel-type interference, which is about 7 orders of magnitude more insensitive to path length phase instability than the DLCZ scheme, and thus enables a robust and feasible implementation of long-distance quantum communication.

The basic element of the quantum repeater is a pencil-shaped atomic sample of $N$ atoms with $\Lambda$ level structure (see inset of Fig. 1). The write laser pulse induces a two mode squeezed state. The light-atom system can be described as [4]

$$|\psi\rangle = |\phi^+\rangle + \sqrt{\alpha} \alpha^{|0\alpha\rangle + \frac{(\alpha^2(|\phi^+\rangle)^2}{2} |0\alpha\rangle}$$

(1)
by neglecting higher-order terms, where \( |0_o\rangle = \Theta |g\rangle \) is the ground state of the atomic ensemble and \( |0_s\rangle \) denotes the vacuum state of the Stokes photon. Here, \( a^\dagger \) is the creation operator of the Stokes mode and the collective atomic excitation operator is defined by \( S^\dagger = (1/\sqrt{N}) \sum |s\rangle\langle g| \), where \( |s\rangle \) is the metastable atomic state. The small excitation probability \( \chi \ll 1 \) can be achieved by manipulating the write laser pulse [14].

The entanglement generation setup is shown in Fig. 1. Let us consider two sites \( A \) and \( B \) at a distance of \( L_0 \leq L\text{att} \), with \( L\text{att} \) the channel attenuation length. Each site has two atomic ensembles encoded as one memory qubit and the collective atomic ensembles are excited simultaneously by write laser pulses. We assume the Stokes photons generated from the two atomic ensembles at each node are excited simultaneously. In this way the memory qubit is effectively entangled with the polarization state of the emitted Stokes photon.

The Stokes photons generated from both the sites are directed to the polarization beam splitter (PBS) and subject to BSM-I at the middle point to entangle the two neighboring memory qubits. However, the two-photon state generated in the second-order Spontaneous Raman process will also induce a coincidence count on the detectors. Thus BSM-I can only prepare the neighboring memory qubits into a complex superposition state with spurious contributions from second-order excitations. For instance, a coincidence count between \( D_1 \) and \( D_4 \) projects the two memory qubits into

\[
|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \left( \frac{S_{h_A}^\dagger S_{h_B}^\dagger + S_{d_A}^\dagger S_{d_B}^\dagger}{2} + \frac{S_{h_A}^\dagger S_{d_B}^\dagger - S_{h_B}^\dagger S_{d_A}^\dagger}{4} \right) |\text{vac}\rangle_{AB}
\]

by neglecting high-order terms, where the atomic ensembles are distinguished by subscripts \((u, d) \) and \((A, B) \). The first part is the maximally entangled state needed for further operation, while the second part is the unwanted two-excitation state coming from second-order excitations. The success probability is on the order of \( O(\chi^2 \eta^2 e^{-L_0/L\text{att}}) \) by considering the channel attenuation, where \( \eta \) is the detection efficiency. The time needed in this process is \( T_0 = T_{cc}/\chi^2 \eta^2 e^{-L_0/L\text{att}} \), with \( T_{cc} = L_0/c \) the classical communication time.

It is obvious that the spurious contributions of two-excitation terms prevent further entanglement manipulation and must be eliminated by some means. However, we find that it is not necessary to worry about these terms because they can be automatically washed out if the BSM in entanglement swapping is carefully designed. In an ideal case a maximally entangled state can be created by implementing entanglement swapping.

FIG. 2. Setup for entanglement connection between sites \( A \) and \( D \) via entanglement swapping. Complex entangled states have been prepared in the memory qubits between sites \((A, B)\) and \((C, D)\). The memory qubits at sites \( B \) and \( C \) are illuminated by near resonant read laser pulses, and the retrieved anti-Stokes photons are subject to BSM-II at the middle point. The anti-Stokes photons at the same site have different polarizations \((|H\rangle \) and \(|V\rangle \). After passing through PBS and PBS\(_s\) successively, the anti-Stokes photons are detected by single photon detectors. Coincidence count between \( D_1 \) and \( D_4 \) \((D_1 \) and \(D_3)\) or \( D_2 \) and \( D_3 \) \((D_2 \) and \(D_4)\) are registered. The memory qubits will be projected into an effectively maximally entangled state \( \rho_{AD} \) up to a local unitary transformation. Note that the PBS arrangement in BSM-II and BSM-I is different.
The entanglement swapping setup is depicted in Fig. 2. Let us consider four communication sites \((A, B)\) and \((C, D)\) and assume we have created complex entangled states \(|\psi\rangle_{AB}\) and \(|\psi\rangle_{CD}\) between sites \((A, B)\) and \((C, D)\), respectively. The memory qubits at sites \(B\) and \(C\) are illuminated simultaneously by read laser pulses. The retrieved anti-Stokes photons are subject to BSM-II at the middle point between \(B\) and \(C\) (see Fig. 2). Note that the arrangement of the PBSs in BSM-II is carefully designed, so that the two-photon states converted from the unwanted two-excitation terms are directed into the same output and thus will not induce a coincidence count on the detectors. In an ideal case, if the retrieve efficiency is unity and perfect photon detectors are used to distinguish photons’ numbers, only the two-photon coincidence count will be registered and project the memory qubits into a maximally entangled state. For instance, when a coincidence count between \(D_1\) and \(D_4\) is registered one will obtain

\[
|\phi^+\rangle_{AD} = (S^+_aS^+_d + S^+_dS^+_a) / \sqrt{2}|\text{vac}\rangle_{AD}.
\]

In this way a maximally entangled state across sites \(A\) and \(D\) is generated by performing entanglement swapping.

However, for realistic atomic ensembles the retrieve efficiency \(\eta_r\) is determined by optical depth of the atomic ensemble [15], and current single photon detectors are incapable of distinguishing photon numbers. Taking into account these imperfections, the multiphoton coincidence counts in BSM-II have to be considered. Through some simple calculations, one can find that the coincidence counts will prepare the memory qubits into a mixed entangled state of the form

\[
\rho_{AD} = p_2\rho_2 + p_1\rho_1 + p_0\rho_0,
\]

where the coefficients \(p_2\), \(p_1\), and \(p_0\) are determined by the retrieve efficiency and detection efficiency [16]. Here \(p_2 = |\langle\phi^+\rangle_{AD}|^2\) is a maximally entangled state, \(p_1\) is a maximally mixed state where only one of the atomic ensembles has one excitation, and \(p_0\) is the vacuum state that all the atomic ensembles are in the ground states.

It is easy to see that \(\rho_{AD}\) is in fact an effectively maximally entangled state, which can be projected automatically to a maximally entangled state in the entanglement-based quantum cryptography schemes. When we implement quantum cryptography via Ekert protocol [2], only the first term \(p_2\) can contribute to a coincidence count between the detectors at the two sites and will be registered after classical communication. The maximally mixed state term \(p_1\) and the vacuum term \(p_0\) have no contribution to the experimental results, and thus \(\rho_{AD}\) is equivalent to the Bell state

\[
|\phi^+\rangle_{AD} = (S^+_aS^+_d + S^+_dS^+_a) / \sqrt{2}|\text{vac}\rangle_{AD}.
\]

The effectively entangled state can be connected to longer communication distance via further entanglement swapping. Taking into account higher-order excitations, the effectively mixed entangled pair reads \(\rho' = \rho + p'\rho^r\), where the normalized mixed state \(p'\) denotes contributions from higher-order excitations and the small coefficient \(p'_h\) is on the order of \(O(\chi) \ll 1\) [16]. After the \(j\)th \((j \geq 2)\) swapping step, the effective entangled pair can be described as

\[
\rho'_{hs} = p_{2s}\rho_{2s} + p_{1s}\rho_{1s} + p_{0s}\rho_{0s} + p'_{hs}\rho'_{hs},
\]

Here \(\rho_{2s}\) is the maximally entangled state between two memory qubits at a distance of \(L = (2^{j-1} - 1)L_0\), and \(\rho_{1s}, \rho_{0s}\) are also the maximally mixed state and vacuum state, respectively. Note that \(\rho_{0s} = \rho'\) is just the mixed entangled state created after the first entanglement swapping step. The coefficients can be estimated to be [16]

\[
p'_{hs} \sim O(j\chi),
\]

\[
p_{as} = p_{as-1} + O(j\chi), \quad (a = 0, 1, 2).
\]

It is readily found that the contributions from higher-order excitations can be safely neglected, as long as the small excitation probability fulfills \(j\chi \ll 1\), which can be easily achieved by tuning the write laser pulse. One can also see that the coefficients \(p_{2s}, p_{1s},\) and \(p_{0s}\) are stable to first order; therefore, the probability to find an entangled pair in the remaining memory qubits is almost a constant and will not decrease significantly with distance during the entanglement connection process. The time needed for the connection step satisfies the iteration formula

\[
T_{sj} = (1/p_s)[T_{sj-1} + 2T_{cc}],
\]

where the success probability \(p_s\) is on the order of \(O(\eta^2\eta^2 e^{-L_0/L_{in}})\). The total time needed for the entanglement connection process is

\[
T_{tot} = T_0 \prod_j p^{-1}_{s_j} = \frac{T_{cc}}{\chi} \left(e^{L_0/L_{att}} - L/L_0\right)^{\log_2 p},
\]

where \(p = \eta^2\eta^2 e^{-L_0/L_{in}}\) is a constant. The excitation probability can be estimated to be \(\chi \sim L_0/L\), and then the time needed in the entanglement connection process

\[
T_{0a} \propto (L/L_0)^{2+\log_2 p}
\]

scales polynomially or quadratically with the communication distance.

The effectively maximally entangled state generated above may be imperfect due to decoherence. For simplicity, assume a mixed state \(\rho_0 = F|\psi^+\rangle_{AD}|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+| + (1 - F)|\psi^+\rangle_{AD}|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+|\) is created after entanglement swapping, where

\[
|\psi^+\rangle_{AD} = (S^+_aS^+_d + S^+_dS^+_a) / \sqrt{2}|\text{vac}\rangle_{AD}.
\]

The mixed entangled state can be purified by linear-optics entanglement purification protocol [17]. As shown in Fig. 3, two effectively mixed entangled pairs are created in parallel via entanglement swapping. The effectively entangled states stored in the four memory qubits are converted into entangled photons by the read laser pulses, and then subject to two PBSs, respectively. The photons in modes \(b_1\) and \(b_2\) are detected in \(|\pm\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|H\rangle \pm |V\rangle)\) basis by single photon detectors, and will project the photons in modes \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) into an effectively maximally entangled state of higher fidelity \(F' = F^2/[F^2 + (1 - F^2)]\) [17]. The high-fidelity entangled pair in modes \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) can be restored into two distant memory qubits by means of a dark-state polariton [18] for further manipulation.
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To generate a remote entangled pair, nested quantum purification has to be implemented. The total time overhead to create entanglement across two communication nodes at a distance of 1270 km can be numerically estimated. In our calculation, we assume the distance \( L_{\text{0}} \) to be 10 km and thus the connection step \( j \) to be 6. The photon loss rate is considered to be 0.1 dB/km in free space as reported [19]. The initial fidelity is assumed to be \( F = 0.88 \). To increase the efficiency, we assume that high efficiency (99%) photon counting detectors based on atomic ensembles are used [20], and the retrieve efficiency is considered to be 98%. Entanglement purification is performed twice during the whole process to improve the fidelity. Our experimental results give a total time of about 3 hours to create an effectively entangled pair, with a probability of 0.85 to get the entangled pair of fidelity 95% [16]. We note that the time overhead can be reduced significantly by optimization.

In our scheme, entanglement creation and swapping are both performed remotely, since they rely on two-photon interference. For the sake of scalability, entanglement generation could be locally performed because it is usually rate-limiting stage due to the low excitation probability. The price to pay is that one has to manipulate at least two memory qubits at each site. The locally entangled pair can also be generated by storing polarization entangled photons. Let us assume memory qubits \( A \) and \( B \) (see Fig. 1) are at one site and that we have created a polarization entangled photon pair with the help of a single photon source [21]. By sending the two entangled photons into memory qubits \( A \) and \( B \), respectively, and storing them via dark-state polariton, one can generate local entanglement between memory qubits \( A \) and \( B \). Entanglement swapping and entanglement purification discussed above also apply to the entangled memory qubits and thus allow implementation of a robust quantum repeater.

In summary, we have proposed a robust and feasible quantum repeater by means of the two-photon Hong-Ou-Mandel–type interference. Long-lived quantum memory is crucial for implementing the atomic ensemble based quantum repeater. In a recent proposal [22], it was shown that the ground state of \(^3\text{He}\) has the potential to store a quantum state for times as long as hours.
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